

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/767,723	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MUENCHAU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Thomas R. Artman	<b>Art Unit</b> 2882	

**All Participants:**

(1) Thomas R. Artman.

(2) David Krasnow, Reg. No. 34,203.

**Status of Application: Response to Non-Final Rej.**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 December 2005

**Time:** pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

n/a

**Claims discussed:**

1, 6 and 11

**Prior art documents discussed:**

n/a

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Inadvertent errors in clms 1, 6 and 11 were corrected. Further, Applicants agreed to include a controller in order to concretely establish the signal function.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Church*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)